UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,527	03/09/2004	Takao Mori	3712174.00478	1944
29175 K&L Gates LLI	7590 01/31/201 P	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 1133		LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT		
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1716	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chicago.patents@klgates.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/796,527	MORI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffrie R. Lund	1716		

	cenne i i: Earla	1710					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 January 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reque	the				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (2)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.: tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension finally set in the final Office action; or (2)	fee ?) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sin					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. 🔀 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
non-allowable claim(s).	non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11.14-16.18-21.25 and 26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 27-31.		ii be entered and an expianation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			.nd				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provide a	a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Jeffrie R. Lund/						
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1716						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments do not overcome the rejections of record. The arguments are piecemeal attacks on individual references and do not consider the teachings of all the cited references as a whole. All of the cited art is capable of processing any substrate including semiconductor wafers or electroluminescence displays. The limitation "fixture holder" is broad and includes any structure that is capable of holding the attachment fixture. The Applicant has claimed no specific structure for the fixture holder nor has the Applicant pointed out how a wafer boat is not a fixture holder or that the wafer boat is not capable of holding the attachment fixture. Claim 25 should be include in the rejection. The limitation is taught by Martin element 44. Claims 27-31contain elements that are not addressed in claim 11 or its dependent claims, thus the invention of claim 11 is different than the invention of claim 27 and the restriction is proper.